CONSTANCE H. WILLIAMS, MEMBER

TO DISTRICT OFFICE: 601 SOUTH HENDERSON ROAD, SUITE 201 KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406 PHONE: (610) 992-9790 FAX: (610) 768-3104

☐ CAPITOL OFFICE: 113 SOUTH OFFICE, HOUSE BOX 202020 HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020 PHONE: (717) 787-7529 FAX: (717) 783-7548

■ ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS E-MAIL: CWILLIAM @ PAHOUSE.NET WEBSITE: WWW,LIBERTYNET.ORG/~CWILLIAM

House of Representatives COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA **HARRISBURG**

seed The gres

AGING AND YOUTH, DEMOCRATIC SECRETARY SUB-COMMITTEE ON YOUTH DEMOCRATIC POLICY COMMITTEE **EDUCATION** SUB-COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION

FINANCE

CAUCUS MEMBERSHIPS

CHILDREN'S CAUCUS, CO-CHAIR DELAWARE RIVER BASIN FIREFIGHTERS AND EMERGENCY SERVICES SUBSTANCE ABUSE WOMEN OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

COMMISSIONS

JOINT STATE GOVERNMENT COMMISSION LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON ADOPTION

July 5, 2000

Mr. Joe McDowell Remedial Project Manager U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III, Hazardous Site Cleanup Division 1650 Arch Street - 3HS21 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Re: The June 2000 Superfund Program proposed plan for the Crater Resources Inc./Keystone Coke/Alan Wood Steel Co. site in Upper Merion Township, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania.

Dear Mr. McDowell:

In reviewing this plan, I noticed it states "the primary objective is to reduce or eliminate the potential for human or ecological exposure to contaminated soil, sediment, and groundwater at the site" (p. 2). I have several questions and concerns:

- 1. Why, if the site has been listed on the NPL since October 1992, is the EPA only now concerned about the extent of trespassing on the property? (*meeting commentary 6/27/00)
- 2. Who should have been responsible for institutional controls and for posting the property during this time period?
- 3. Why has it taken so long from the first groundwater monitoring survey in 1979, to its listing in 1992 on the NPL, until its 1994 Remedial Investigations/Feasibility Study and its completion in January 1999? And only now, 22 years after the site was closed by Alan Wood Steel, is remediation being discussed?
- 4. I have read your "Summary of Site Risks" can you please explain what "the greatest maximum hazard index risk is to a child resident potentially using groundwater" means, or "a resident ingesting contaminated soils from Quarry 3?" Is a risk-based clean up intended? Would mosquitoes or flies transmit contaminants?
- 5. My constituents in Hughes Park are very concerned about the storm water runoff from the site that they experience with each severe rain. Since this is not addressed in your report, please advise as to the storm water and erosion/sedimentation controls that will be instituted, their placement at the site, and the intended duration of their placement there?

Joe McDowell July 5, 2000 p. 2

6. What monitoring will the EPA and the potentially responsible parties conduct on site during the construction period, should this project be approved?

7. What monitoring will the EPA and the potentially responsible parties conduct should the project be completed? Mr. O'Neill states he has a perfect record of compliance with EPA regulations and standards in his other projects. Is this record available for public inspection?

8. Can Upper Merion Township withdraw approval of construction if remediation does not proceed as expected?

Thank you for your assistance with these questions. I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Connie Williams
State Representative
149th Legislative District

CW:dh